

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/3028 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : **18 AUG 2016**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 876 OF 2015 With
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 910 OF 2015.
(Sub :- Transfer / Suspension)**

1. Shri Shivkumar R. Madhbhavi, (O.A. No. 876/2015)
2. Shri Deepak R. Kshirsagar, (O.A. No. 910/2015)
C/o. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicants.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 The Addl. D.G. of Police (Traffic), M.S., Mumbai, through Police Superintendent, H.Q., Having Office at Old Council Hall, S.B.S. Marg, Mumbai-39.
- 2 The Superintendent of Police, Solapur (R), Having Office at Solapur.
- 3 The State of Maharashtra, Through Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Dept., Having Office at Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 08th day of August, 2016 has made the following order:

APPEARANCE : Shri **B.A.** Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicants.
Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **08.08.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.


Anand
18/8/2016
Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


of 20

of 20

CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Sl. No.	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.876 & 910 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri S.R. Madhbhavi (OA.876/15) Shri D.R. Kshirsagar (OA.910/15) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate tenders letters signed by the applicants addressed to the Advocate. They are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Impugned orders have been modified and applicants are satisfied.</p> <p>4. In view of the foregoing both the OAs are disposed off as infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 8.8.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

TRUE COPY


18/8/2016
Asst. Registrar/Research Officer
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai.